

## Rating of Nominees

Each committee member assigns a rating from 1 to 5 to a given nominee, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest; non-integer ratings are perfectly acceptable. Though there are no fixed criteria for rating a nomination, the following table provides some examples of how a rater might react to some features in a nomination package. All important contributions are considered in the evaluation process, not just those specifically identified below. Depending on the application, a nominator might report some efforts in a different category than the section headings below suggest.

Impact on Rating	Feature of Nomination Package
<b>Research</b>	
++	Sole authorship, first author, or corresponding author of 5 or more articles with major statistical contribution in leading journals
++	Author or co-author of a well-regarded statistics book
++	Holds patents having a substantial statistical contribution
++	Development of statistical software implementing new statistical techniques (software widely used)
++	Research has a widespread impact on the discipline through adoption by others, number of citations, publication in high quality journals, and/or obtaining grant funding for continued projects
+	Authorship of articles in non-refereed publications
<b>Teaching &amp; Mentoring</b>	
++	Widespread impact of teaching (beyond nominee's own educational institution)
++	Strong evidence of positive impact of mentoring
++	Sole authorship, first author, or corresponding author of at least 5 papers (a) on the teaching of statistics or (b) introducing statistical methods to a non-statistical audience in non-statistical journals
<b>Applications and Consulting</b>	
++	Good breadth of significant consulting experience outside of nominee's academic department
++	Significant impact of consulting in industrial setting (e.g., numerous new drug approvals)
++	Sole authorship, first author, or corresponding author of at least 5 papers reporting important findings in an applied field
++	Generation of innovative software (not necessarily with new statistical methods) that has been widely adopted
<b>Administration</b>	
++	Increasing leadership responsibilities throughout career
++	Strong evidence of a positive impact of leadership
<b>Service to Statistics Profession</b>	
++	Served as an ASA Board Member
++	Chaired an ASA Section, Chapter, or Committee
++	Founder of an ASA Section or Special Interest Group
++	Program committee chair for a major ASA meeting
+	Editor/Co-editor of an ASA journal or journal of another statistical professional society
+	Associate editor of one or more statistical professional society journals
+	Minor ASA roles (e.g., treasurer of local ASA chapter)
+	Participation on an ASA president's task force
-	No involvement in the ASA or other statistical professional societies
<b>Letters of Support</b>	
++	Authored by highly regarded members of the ASA or leading scholars from a collaborating field
--	Unenthusiastic endorsement of nominee
<b>General</b>	
+	High degree of concordance of draft citation and other content of nomination package.
+	Nicely prepared and summarized nomination form
-	Poorly prepared and summarized nomination form

++ = strong positive, + = positive, - = negative, -- = strong negative, Blank if not relevant to the nomination. No one person is necessarily expected to have contributions noted all of the "job-related" categories (research, teaching, consulting, and administration).